BIOLOGY LETTERS

rsbl.royalsocietypublishing.org

Opinion piece

Cite this article: El-Sabaawi RW, Frauendorf TC, Marques PS, Mackenzie RA, Manna LR, Mazzoni R, Phillip DAT, Warbanski ML, Zandonà E. 2016 Biodiversity and ecosystem risks arising from using guppies to control mosquitoes. *Biol. Lett.* **12**: 20160590. http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2016.0590

Received: 11 July 2016
Accepted: 4 October 2016

Subject Areas:
ecology, evolution, environmental science, health and disease and epidemiology

Keywords:
dengue, ecosystem service, invasion, malaria, Zika

Author for correspondence:
Rana W. El-Sabaawi
e-mail: rana@uvic.ca

THE ROYAL SOCIETY PUBLISHING

Conservation biology

Biodiversity and ecosystem risks arising from using guppies to control mosquitoes

Rana W. El-Sabaawi[1], Therese C. Frauendorf[1], Piata S. Marques[1], Richard A. Mackenzie[2], Luisa R. Manna[3], Rosana Mazzoni[3], Dawn A. T. Phillip[4], Misha L. Warbanski[1] and Eugenia Zandonà[3]

[1]Department of Biology, University of Victoria, PO Box 1700, Station CSC, Victoria, Canada BC V8 W 2Y2
[2]Institute of Pacific Islands Forestry, Pacific Southwest Research Station, USDA Forest Service, Hilo, HI 96720, USA
[3]Departamento de Ecologia, Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rua São Francisco Xavier 524, Maracanã, 20550-900, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
[4]Department of Life Sciences, The University of the West Indies, St Augustine, Trinidad and Tobago, West Indies

RWE-S, 0000-0002-0561-1068

Deploying mosquito predators such as the guppy (*Poecilia reticulata*) into bodies of water where mosquitoes breed is a common strategy for limiting the spread of disease-carrying mosquitoes. Here, we draw on studies from epidemiology, conservation, ecology and evolution to show that the evidence for the effectiveness of guppies in controlling mosquitoes is weak, that the chances of accidental guppy introduction into local ecosystems are large, and that guppies can easily establish populations and damage these aquatic ecosystems. We highlight several knowledge and implementation gaps, and urge that this approach is either abandoned in favour of more effective strategies or that it is used much more rigorously. Controlling mosquitoes does not need to come at the expense of freshwater biodiversity.

1. Introduction

Mosquito-borne illnesses are a significant health problem in many nations, and recent reports suggest that Zika and dengue are spreading [1–3]. Currently, there are no vaccines for these diseases; prevention focuses on lowering the chance of being bitten by a carrier mosquito [4]. One common prevention strategy is to deploy larvicidal predators in water bodies where mosquitoes breed. By feeding on mosquito larvae or eggs, these predators should reduce populations of infected mosquitoes. Fish were widely used for this purpose by British colonists in the early twentieth century [5], and continue to be included in public health guidelines [4,6]. In recent years, guppies (*Poecilia reticulata*) have been used on a large scale to fight dengue and Zika epidemics. In 2013, guppies were introduced into local water bodies as part of an effort to control a dengue epidemic in Pakistan [7], and in 2015–2016 guppies were introduced in city ponds, water tanks, and ditches to limit the spread of Zika and dengue in Brazil [8–10]. There are also news reports of citizens independently releasing guppies in disease-affected nations [11], and it is unlikely these deployments have expert oversight. A Google search of 'guppies and Zika' or 'guppies and dengue' results in hundreds of entries from newspapers and social media outlets, including 'how to' or 'do it yourself' websites. We provide four reasons why using guppies to control mosquitoes is an ineffective strategy that is dangerous to local biodiversity.

2. Experimental evidence that guppies control mosquitoes is inconsistent and problematic

Laboratory studies that feed mosquitoes to guppies ad libitum generally conclude that guppies are an effective predator because they consume mosquito larvae

[12–14]. However, it is likely that these relatively simple experiments, which starve guppies beforehand, and only offer them mosquitoes to eat, overestimate the effectiveness of guppies as mosquito predators. Laboratory experiments using multiple prey species conclude that guppies prefer other prey over mosquitoes, particularly chironomids [15]. Faecal analysis from wild guppies suggests a much lower average mosquito feeding rate than in laboratory experiments [13,16]. In Trinidad, we have observed extensive feeding on mosquitoes when guppies are housed in planters full of stagnant water [17], but not in moving waters or in natural populations [18,19]. Guppies also eat fewer mosquitoes in polluted water, probably because they have a greater diversity of food choices [20].

Ideally, the efficacy of guppies in controlling mosquitoes should be tested using a meta-analysis on the existing literature, but it is difficult to achieve consensus because guppy–mosquito experiments vary widely in methodology (e.g. acclimation or starvation time prior to trial, aquarium size and vegetative cover) [12,21]. Many early studies do not use proper experimental design or statistics, and many community-wide tests do not report sufficient pre-treatment data [22]. Because of such limitations, a recent systematic review on the topic included only 13 out of approximately 5000 studies; it concluded that although fish reduce the density of larval *Aedes* spp. (mosquitoes that spread dengue and Zika) in water containers, it was difficult to link fish to a reduction in adult *Aedes* or to a decline in disease transmission [22]. More rigorous studies are needed to confirm that guppies are effective mosquito predators.

3. Chances of guppy escape and introduction into local ecosystems are unknown, but likely high

Although some official guidelines explicitly recommend using native fish fauna whenever possible [4], or mention that guppy escape can harm local fauna [6], they do not provide explicit guidelines for preventing guppy escape and subsequent introduction into local ecosystems. News reports from Pakistan, Colombia, Brazil and India suggest that guppies have been deployed in open water bodies (ditches, ponds and sewers) [7,23,24]. The risk of accidental guppy escape from such systems is high because flooding, humans or predators (e.g. birds) can transport these fish between water bodies. However, because these deployments are not monitored, we currently do not know the probability or extent of accidental guppy escape.

4. Guppies are excellent invaders

Unfortunately, some of the traits that make guppies attractive for mosquito control also make them potent invaders. Guppies reproduce frequently, give birth to live young and grow quickly [25]. Females have a short gestation time (approx. 28 days), and can store sperm for long periods after copulation; they are almost always pregnant when collected from natural populations [26]. A single, pregnant female guppy has a more than 80% chance of establishing a population when introduced to a new environment [5]. Guppies are highly plastic and can acclimate and evolve quickly in new environments [27,28]. They tolerate a wide range of environmental conditions (pollution, heat, turbidity, presence or absence of predators) [29–32], and can live in rivers, lakes, ponds, ditches and sewers [25].

5. Guppies deplete native fauna and alter ecosystems

Poecilia reticulata has a small native range in the Caribbean and the northern tip of South America, but has invaded ecosystems worldwide [5,25]. Approximately 40% of these invasions are attributed to past efforts to combat mosquitoes [5]. Guppies have dramatically changed invaded ecosystems within and beyond their native range. Guppy introductions into guppy-free habitats in Trinidad decrease resident fish density [33], and cause resident fish to mature earlier, increase their growth rates and their reproductive investment [34,35]. Guppies become the dominant fish species in introduction sites [34]. They disrupt these ecosystems by increasing primary productivity, recycled nitrogen, and nitrogen fluxes to grazers and filter feeders, while reducing nitrogen fluxes to collector–gatherers [36,37]. In Hawaii, guppies and other invasive poeciliids have reached densities 10–30× greater than native fish since their introduction in the 1920s to fight mosquitoes [38,39]. They have halved native goby densities and reduced their fitness [40,41]. Guppies have increased available dissolved nitrogen by up to eight fold, and have promoted the expansion of non-native invertebrates [38]. The vast majority of studies on the effects of invasive guppies are from low diversity ecosystems (e.g. Caribbean and Pacific islands); more studies are therefore needed from areas with high biodiversity. The effects of guppies on native fish and aquatic environments in Brazil are poorly studied, but invasive guppies may pose risks to Brazilian biodiversity [42].

6. Conclusion and recommendations

In our opinion, using guppies to control mosquitoes on a large scale is likely to be ineffective, and to have significant risks for local ecosystems and biodiversity. It is understandable that in times of epidemics governments need to act quickly and deploy as many disease-control methods as possible, but the time and expense of deploying fish can be directed towards more effective strategies (e.g. installing window screening [43]). At the very least, this practice should be controlled and monitored rigorously. Here, we have focused on guppies because they have been extensively used in recent epidemics; other mosquito-control fishes such as *Gambusia* spp. (aka. mosquitofish) can also cause negative ecological effects [44]. A central challenge is that studies examining using guppies to control mosquitoes are in the realm of medical and health science, and are separated from the wealth of knowledge on the ecology and evolution of the guppy. Furthermore, there exists an exhaustive body of ecological literature on biological control of a wide range of pests and diseases that can help inform best practices; recent efforts to integrate biological control with conservation practices are particularly useful [45]. We urge health and conservation arms of government to collaborate on implementing biological control of mosquitoes. It is important to recognize that even in environments where guppies are common or have already invaded (e.g. Trinidad, Hawaii and Brazil), many aquatic environments are guppy-free and contain native fauna that are sensitive to invasion and therefore need protection. Controlling mosquitoes need not come at the expense of freshwater biodiversity, which is already highly threatened in many tropical areas.

Authors' contributions. R.W.E.-S. conceived the manuscript and wrote the majority of the text. P.S.M., T.C.F., M.L.W., R.A.M., R.M., E.Z., L.R.M. and D.A.T.P. contributed equally to writing according to their expertise.

Competing interests. We declare we have no competing interests.

Funding. We have received no funding for this study. Past and ongoing work on guppies in our groups has been funded by a number of sources (IDRC/AUCC, CNPq, etc) that are acknowledged in the primary papers we cite in this article. PSM is supported by a Science without Borders (CAPES) fellowship. TCF is supported by funding from the University of Victoria.

References

1. Kyle JL, Harris E. 2008 Global spread and persistence of dengue. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* **62**, 71–92. (doi:10.1146/annurev.micro.62.081307.163005)
2. Mackenzie JS, Gubler DJ, Petersen LR. 2004 Emerging flaviviruses: the spread and resurgence of Japanese encephalitis, West Nile and dengue viruses. *Nat. Med.* **10**, S98–S109. (doi:10.1038/nm1144)
3. Rasmussen SA, Jamieson DJ, Honein MA, Petersen LR. 2016 Zika virus and birth defects—reviewing the evidence for causality. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **374**, 1981–1987. (doi:10.1056/NEJMsr1604338)
4. World Health Organization. 2009 *Dengue: guidelines for diagnosis, treatment, prevention and control*. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization.
5. Deacon AE, Ramnarine IW, Magurran AE. 2011 How reproductive ecology contributes to the spread of a globally invasive fish. *PLoS ONE* **6**, e24416. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0024416)
6. Hawaii State Department of Health. 2015 *Controlling mosquito breeding in rainwater catchment systems and 'dry' injection wells*. Honolulu, HI.
7. News report. 2013 Root cause: to overcome dengue spread, guppy fish to be thrown into water. *The Express Tribune*, 16 September 2013. See http://tribune.com.pk/story/604714/root-cause-to-overcome-dengue-spread-guppy-fish-to-be-thrown-into-water/ (accessed 27 April 2016).
8. News report. 2015 Peixe é usado como alternativa no combate à dengue em Alfenas, MG. *O Globo*, 9 December 2015. See http://g1.globo.com/mg/sul-de-minas/noticia/2015/12/peixe-e-usado-como-alternativa-no-combate-dengue-em-alfenas-mg.html (accessed 27 April 2016).
9. News report. 2015 Peixe guppy é usado para combater a dengue no agreste de Pernambuco. *O Globo*, 2015. See http://g1.globo.com/jornal-hoje/videos/t/edicoes/v/peixe-guppy-e-usado-para-combater-a-dengue-no-agreste-de-pernambuco/4202041/ (accessed 27 April 2016).
10. News report. 2016 Brazil brings guppies to the fight against Zika. *Reuters*, 18 February 2016. See http://www.reuters.com/video/2016/02/18/brazil-brings-guppies-to-the-fight-again?videoId=367446997 (accessed 27 April 2016).
11. Mendonsa K. 2013 Mysore man fights deadly dengue with guppy army. *The Times of India*, 30 May 2013. See http://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/city/mysuru/Mysore-man-fights-deadly-dengue-with-guppy-army/articleshow/20341523.cms (accessed 27 April 2016).
12. Saleeza S, Norma-Rashid Y, Sofian-Azirun M. 2014 Guppies as predators of common mosquito larvae in Malaysia. *Southeast Asian J. Trop. Med. Public Health* **45**, 299–308.
13. Kusumawathie P, Wickremasinghe A, Karunaweera N, Wijeyaratne M. 2008 Larvivorous potential of the guppy, *Poecilia reticulata*, in anopheline mosquito control in riverbed pools below the Kotmale dam, Sri Lanka. *Asia Pac. J. Public Health* **20**, 56–63. (doi:10.1177/1010539507308507)
14. Elias M, Saidullslam M, Kabir MH, Rahman MK. 1995 Biological control of mosquito larvae by guppy fish. *Bangladesh Med. Res. Counc. Bull.* **21**, 81–86.
15. Manna B, Aditya G, Banerjee S. 2008 Vulnerability of the mosquito larvae to the guppies (*Poecilia reticulata*) in the presence of alternative preys. *J. Vector Borne Dis.* **45**, 200–206.
16. Lawal M, Edokpayi C, Osibona A. 2013 Food and feeding habits of the guppy, *Poecilia reticulata*, from drainage canal systems in Lagos, Southwestern Nigeria. *West African J. Appl. Ecol.* **20**, 1–9.
17. Warbanski M, Marques P, Frauendorf T, Phillip DA, El-Sabaawi R. In press. Implications of guppy (*Poecilia reticulata*) life history phenotype for mosquito control. *Ecol. Evol.*
18. Zandona E *et al.* 2011 Diet quality and prey selectivity correlate with life histories and predation regime in Trinidadian guppies. *Funct. Ecol.* **25**, 964–973. (doi:10.1111/J.1365-2435.2011.01865.X)
19. Bassar RD *et al.* 2010 Local adaptation in Trinidadian guppies alters ecosystem processes. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **107**, 3616–3621. (doi:10.1073/Pnas.0908023107)
20. Dua VK, Pandey A, Rai S, Dash A. 2007 Larvivorous activity of *Poecilia reticulata* against *Culex quinquefasciatus* larvae in a polluted water drain in Hardwar, India. *J. Am. Mosq. Control Assoc.* **23**, 481–483. (doi:10.2987/5560.1)
21. Gupta S, Banerjee S. 2013 Comparative assessment of the mosquito biocontrol efficiency between guppy (*Poecilia reticulata*) and Panchax minnow (*Aplocheilus panchax*). *Biosci. Discov.* **4**, 89–95.
22. Han W, Lazaro A, McCall P, George L, Runge-Ranzinger S, Toledo J, Velayudhan R, Horstick O. 2015 Efficacy and community effectiveness of larvivorous fish for dengue vector control. *Trop. Med. Int. Health* **20**, 1239–1256. (doi:10.1111/tmi.12538)
23. Lee R. 2014 World Malaria Day: India fights mosquitoes with guppy fish project. *Tech Times*, 24 April 2014. See http://www.techtimes.com/articles/6107/20140425/world-malaria-day-india-fights-mosquitoes-with-guppy-fish-project.htm (accessed 27 April 2016).
24. Murias A. 2015 Guppy fish stocked to control chikungunya vector mosquito. *Fish Information and Services*, 7 July 2015. See http://www.fis.com/fis/worldnews/worldnews.asp?l=e&id=77709&ndb=1 (accessed 27 April 2016).
25. Magurran AE. 2005 *Evolutionary ecology: the Trinidadian guppy*, pxi, 206 p. Oxford, NY: Oxford University Press.
26. López-Sepulcre A, Gordon SP, Paterson IG, Bentzen P, Reznick DN. 2013 Beyond lifetime reproductive success: the posthumous reproductive dynamics of male Trinidadian guppies. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **280**, 20131116. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2013.1116)
27. Ghalambor CK, Reznick DN, Walker JA. 2004 Constraints on adaptive evolution: the functional trade-off between reproduction and fast-start swimming performance in the Trinidadian guppy (*Poecilia reticulata*). *Am. Nat.* **164**, 38–50. (doi:10.1086/421412)
28. Reznick DN, Shaw FH, Rodd FH, Shaw RG. 1997 Evaluation of the rate of evolution in natural populations of guppies (*Poecilia reticulata*). *Science* **275**, 1934–1937. (doi:10.1126/science.275.5308.1934)
29. Widianarko B, Van Gestel C, Verweij R, Van Straalen N. 2000 Associations between trace metals in sediment, water, and guppy, *Poecilia reticulata* (Peters), from urban streams of Semarang, Indonesia. *Ecotoxicol Environ. Saf.* **46**, 101–107. (doi:10.1006/eesa.1999.1879)
30. Rolshausen G *et al.* 2015 Do stressful conditions make adaptation difficult? Guppies in the oil-polluted environments of southern Trinidad. *Evol. Appl.* **8**, 854–870. (doi:10.1111/eva.12289)
31. Reeve AJ. 2015 *Phenotypic plasticity in thermal tolerance: life history strategy of an invasive freshwater fish*. St Andrews, UK: Scotland University of St Andrews.
32. Ehlman SM, Sandkam BA, Breden F, Sih A. 2015 Developmental plasticity in vision and behavior may help guppies overcome increased turbidity. *J. Comp. Physiol. A* **201**, 1125–1135. (doi:10.1007/s00359-015-1041-4)
33. Walsh MR, Fraser DF, Bassar RD, Reznick DN. 2011 The direct and indirect effects of guppies: implications for life-history evolution in *Rivulus hartii*. *Funct. Ecol.* **25**, 227–237. (doi:10.1111/J.1365-2435.2010.01786.X)
34. Walsh MR, Reznick DN. 2011 Experimentally induced life-history evolution in a killifish in response to the introduction of guppies. *Evolution* **65**, 1021–1036. (doi:10.1111/J.1558-5646.2010.01188.X)
35. Walsh MR, Reznick DN. 2010 Influence of the indirect effects of guppies on life-history evolution

in *Rivulus Hartii*. *Evolution* **64**, 1583–1593. (doi:10.1111/J.1558-5646.2009.00922.X)

36. Collins S, Thomas SA, El-Sabaawi M, Reznick A, Flecker AS. 2016 Fish introductions and light modulate food web fluxes in tropical streams: a whole-ecosystem experimental approach. *Ecology* **97**, 1373–1633. (doi:10.1002/ecy.1530)
37. El-Sabaawi RW, Marshall MC, Bassar RD, Lopez-Sepulcre A, Palkovacs EP, Dalton CM. 2015 Assessing the effects of life history evolution on nutrient recycling: from experiments to the field. *Freshw. Biol.* **60**, 590–601. (doi:10.1111/fwb.12507)
38. Holitzki TM, MacKenzie RA, Wiegner TN, McDermid KJ. 2013 Differences in ecological structure, function, and native species abundance between native and invaded Hawaiian streams. *Ecol. Appl.* **23**, 1367–1383. (doi:10.1890/12-0529.1)
39. Yamamoto M, Tagawa A. 2000 *Hawaii's native and exotic freshwater animals mutual publishing*. Honolulu, HI: Mutual Publishing.
40. Font WF, Tate DC. 1994 Helminth parasites of native Hawaiian freshwater fishes: an example of extreme ecological isolation. *J. Parasitol.* **80**, 682–688. (doi:10.2307/3283246)
41. Gagne RB, Hogan JD, Pracheil BM, McIntyre PB, Hain EF, Gilliam JF, Blum MJ. 2015 Spread of an introduced parasite across the Hawaiian archipelago independent of its introduced host. *Freshw. Biol.* **60**, 311–322. (doi:10.1111/fwb.12491)
42. Azevedo-Santos VM, Vitule JR, García-Berthou E, Pelicice FM, Simberloff D. 2016 Misguided strategy for mosquito control. *Science* **351**, 675–675. (doi:10.1126/science.351.6274.675)
43. Bowman LR, Donegan S, McCall PJ. 2016 Is dengue vector control deficient in effectiveness or evidence? Systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* **10**, e0004551. (doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0004551)
44. Pyke GH. 2008 Plague minnow or mosquito fish? A review of the biology and impacts of introduced *Gambusia* species. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* **39**, 171–191. (doi:10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.39.110707.173451)
45. van Driesche R, Simberloff D, Blossey B, Causton C, Hoddle M, Marks CO, Heinz KM, Wagner DL, Warner KD. 2016 *Biological control and conservation practice*. New York, NY: John Wiley & Sons.